

**From:** Andrew Rushmer  
**Sent:** 03 February 2020 17:02  
**To:** checplanningltd@gmail.com; Emily Corfield; Burghclere Parish; Burghclere chair  
**Subject:** RE: Burghclere Parish Neighbourhood Plan Examination  
**Attachments:** Appendix A - Burghclere - Local Plan Update January 2020[1].pdf; 20200127-BPNP Note to Examiner regarding deletion of policy B3 and amendment of Plan Period-FINAL.pdf

Dear Janet

Thanks very much for the email. Sorry for the delay in getting back to you.

Further to our exchange of emails earlier on, and specifically in relation to the parish council providing comments in response to the Regulation 16 consultation, the parish council have produced a response in relation the borough council comments. This is because they received our comments at the end of the consultation period, and hence have had time to produce a response. However, they have only just received the other comments (as the LPA weren't able to send those all over until the end of last week). Consequently, my understanding is that the PC would like to send a more comprehensive response which picks up on any other pertinent issues once they have been able to properly consider all of the representations received. Is it acceptable for them to provide their response in two stages (i.e. the attached comments in relation to the LPA comments, and an additional response picking up any other points)?

Furthermore, as a corollary to the above, they haven't yet received the specific invitation from yourself to provide comments concerning the Regulation 16 consultation responses (aside from the mention of it in your general email earlier today). Just for clarification, are you able to specify what the timescale is for them to provide comments to you in relation to the Reg. 16 consultation?

If Richard has anything to add in this regard, feel free to make sure I haven't missed or misunderstood anything!

I haven't been able to look into the SA documents request which you referred to, but I will do that as soon as I can, and will be in touch.

Best wishes

Andrew Rushmer  
Principal Planning Officer  
Basingstoke and Deane Borough Council  
Tel: 01256 845536  
Andrew.Rushmer@basingstoke.gov.uk  
www.basingstoke.gov.uk  
 [@BasingstokeGov](https://twitter.com/BasingstokeGov)  [@BasingstokeGov](https://www.facebook.com/BasingstokeGov)



Basingstoke  
and Deane



---

**From:** checplanningltd@gmail.com [mailto:checplanningltd@gmail.com]  
**Sent:** 03 February 2020 11:27  
**To:** Andrew Rushmer <Andrew.Rushmer@basingstoke.gov.uk>; Emily Corfield <Emily.Corfield@basingstoke.gov.uk>; Burghclere Parish <burghclerepc@gmail.com>; Burghclere chair  
**Subject:** Re: Burghclere Parish Neighbourhood Plan Examination

\*\*\*\* PLEASE NOTE: This message has originated from a source external to Basingstoke & Deane Borough Council, and has been scanned for viruses. Basingstoke and Deane Borough Council reserves the right to store and monitor e-mails \*\*\*\*

---

Andrew

Thank you for your prompt response.

Please can you forward the response from the Parish Council to me.

I sent my earlier email too soon! Having read further documents, I now see that I need all the previous SA documents and any responses from the statutory consultees on these documents. Quoting from the SA of Nov 2019 the documents I need are:

*The first document was the SA Scoping Report (March 2019), which includes information about the Neighbourhood Plan area's environment and community. The second document was an SA site assessment which was designed to inform community consultation on the Neighbourhood Plan. The third document was the SA Report which accompanied Regulation 14 consultation on the Neighbourhood Plan.*

Kind Regards

Janet Cheesley

**From:** Andrew Rushmer

**Sent:** Monday, February 3, 2020 11:16 AM

**To:** [checplanningltd@gmail.com](mailto:checplanningltd@gmail.com) ; Emily Corfield ; Burghclere Parish ; Burghclere chair

**Subject:** RE: Burghclere Parish Neighbourhood Plan Examination

Hi Janet

Thanks for the email. I am afraid that Emily is on leave this week, so I am doing my best to run with this in her absence.

In terms of providing the links you have requested, I have set these out below. I think that the PC will need to provide the others.

I see that the PC are able to provide a response to the representations. They have sent me something already in this regard. Do you want me to forward that on to you? Or should it come from them?

The recently adopted NW Downs AONB Management Plan: <http://www.northwessexdowns.org.uk/publications-resources/aonb-management-plan.html>

BDBC SHMA:

<https://www.basingstoke.gov.uk/content/page/40054/Strategic%20Housing%20Market%20Assessment%20-%20May%202015.pdf>

BDBC Housing SPD:

[https://www.basingstoke.gov.uk/content/page/57796/Housing%20Supplementary%20Planning%20Document%20\(SPD\).pdf](https://www.basingstoke.gov.uk/content/page/57796/Housing%20Supplementary%20Planning%20Document%20(SPD).pdf)

BDBC Economic Needs Assessment (Feb 2018):

<https://www.basingstoke.gov.uk/content/page/56480/Economic%20Needs%20Assessment%20Feb%202018.pdf>

BDBC Design and Sustainability SPD (July 2018): <https://www.basingstoke.gov.uk/design-and-sustainability-spd>

The Revised Village Design Statement. Please can I have a paper copy of this as well:

<https://www.basingstoke.gov.uk/content/page/39592/Burghclere%20-%20October%202002.pdf>

BDBC Landscape, Biodiversity and Trees SPD:

<https://www.basingstoke.gov.uk/content/page/59292/Landscape,%20Biodiversity%20and%20Trees%20SPD.pdf>

BDBC Parking SPD: <https://www.basingstoke.gov.uk/parking-standards>

All documents listed in the Schedule of Evidence on page 50 of the Neighbourhood Plan that I have not already received and are not in the list above.

National Design Guide: <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/national-design-guide>

Details of the current Landscape Character Appraisal are available via the following link: <https://www.basingstoke.gov.uk/ENV07> (However, please be aware that we will be publishing a new version of this soon).

We have also updated the website, and provided some guidance regarding the Examination process:

<https://www.basingstoke.gov.uk/BURNP>

I hope that all helps. Obviously please let me know if you need any more from me.

Best wishes

Andrew Rushmer  
Principal Planning Officer  
Basingstoke and Deane Borough Council  
Tel: 01256 845536

[Andrew.Rushmer@basingstoke.gov.uk](mailto:Andrew.Rushmer@basingstoke.gov.uk)

[www.basingstoke.gov.uk](http://www.basingstoke.gov.uk)

[@BasingstokeGov](#) [@BasingstokeGov](#)



Basingstoke  
and Deane



---

**From:** [hecplanningltd@gmail.com](mailto:hecplanningltd@gmail.com) [<mailto:hecplanningltd@gmail.com>]

**Sent:** 03 February 2020 10:24

**To:** Emily Corfield <[Emily.Corfield@basingstoke.gov.uk](mailto:Emily.Corfield@basingstoke.gov.uk)>; Andrew Rushmer <[Andrew.Rushmer@basingstoke.gov.uk](mailto:Andrew.Rushmer@basingstoke.gov.uk)>; Burghclere Parish <[burghclerepc@gmail.com](mailto:burghclerepc@gmail.com)>; Burghclere chair

**Subject:** Burghclere Parish Neighbourhood Plan Examination

\*\*\*\* PLEASE NOTE: This message has originated from a source external to Basingstoke & Deane Borough Council, and has been scanned for viruses. Basingstoke and Deane Borough Council reserves the right to store and monitor e-mails \*\*\*\*

---

I am writing to set out how I intend to undertake the examination of the Burghclere Parish Neighbourhood Plan. My role is to determine whether the Plan meets the Basic Conditions and other legal requirements. I intend to ensure that the Parish Council feels part of the process. As such, I will copy the Parish Council into all correspondence, apart from contractual matters that are dealt with direct with the local planning authority. Likewise, please can you ensure that any correspondence from you is copied to all parties at the top of this email. This will ensure fairness and transparency throughout the process.

Emily will be my main point of contact. Once I have read all the papers, I may ask for any missing documents or seek clarification on some matters. It may be appropriate for me to seek clarification on matters from the Parish Council. I must emphasise very strongly that this does not mean that I will accept new evidence. In the interest of fairness to other parties, I cannot accept new evidence other than in

exceptional circumstances. If the Parish Council is unsure as to whether information it is submitting may constitute new evidence, may I suggest that you send it to Emily in the first instance for an opinion. If I do seek clarification, I will ask for my request and any responses to be published on the Basingstoke & Deane Borough Council's web site.

It may be that there is very little correspondence from me during the examination. I will endeavour to keep you both up to date on the progress of the examination. The default is for an examination to be conducted without a hearing. If I feel one is necessary, I will inform you both as early as possible, but this is likely to be near the end the examination process. If I do intend to hold a hearing, I will inform you of the procedure at that time.

I will be visiting the Plan area during the examination. I will not need to be accompanied during my visit. I hope to visit sometime in the next two weeks. I would appreciate it if I am left to my own devices if spotted!

I confirm that I have received the submission documents from Basingstoke & Deane Borough Council together with the Regulation 16 representations. I have given the Parish Council the opportunity to make comment on these Regulation 16 representations. I will consider any comments when I receive them in due course and they should be placed on the Basingstoke & Deane Borough Council's web site.

I enclose a recently published NPIERS Guidance to Service Users and Examiners, which may be of interest regarding the examination process.

Please can Emily arrange for the web site to be updated to announce that I have started the examination of the Plan and for all regulation 16 representations to be placed on the website and redacted if necessary .

I will issue a draft report for fact checking by both parties. I will ask you both to check my report for factual errors such as dates, sequence of events, names and so on that might need to be corrected. The report will be confidential and must not be presented to a public meeting. I must emphasise that this is not an opportunity to make comments on the report other than those that relate to factual errors. In particular, I will not be inviting, and will not accept, comment on any suggested modifications. The draft report will only be published as the final version if there are no factual errors found and if there is no other reason, such as a sudden change in national policy, that could be significant to my recommendations. I will endeavour to issue my final report shortly after the fact checking stage.

Prior to the of the examination, BDBC sent me emails on 30 January and 31 January and I responded via email on 31 January 2020. These emails related to procedural matters including the consultation process at Regulation 16 stage. Further email correspondence has been exchanged today on this matter. Please can the emails be placed on the Basingstoke & Deane Borough Council's web site.

Please can this email be placed on the Basingstoke & Deane Borough Council's web site. If there is future correspondence regarding matters of clarification, I will ask for those to be similarly made available.

To assist the examination, please can I have electronic links to the following documents referred to in the Plan:

- 1) the recently adopted NW Downs AONB Management Plan
- 2)BDBC SHMA
- 3) BDBC Housing SPD
- 4) BDBC Economic Needs Assessment (Feb 2018)
- 5)BDBC Design and Sustainability SPD (July 2018)
- 6) The Revised Village Design Statement. Please can I have a paper copy of this as well.
- 7) BDBC Landscape, Biodiversity and Trees SPD
- 11) BDBC Parking SPD
- 12) All documents listed in the Schedule of Evidence on page 50 of the Neighbourhood Plan that I have not already received and are not in the list above.

I am seeking clarification on the following matters:

1) Paragraph 5.43 refers to background evidence for the identification of Local Heritage assets. Please can I have any publicly available background evidence.

2) I am unsure of the meaning of the last sentence in Policy B11 -  
"Proposals will not be supported which comprise buildings or other structures, the height and/or mass of which will obstruct or undermine an Important View."

Am I to read this as:

"Proposals will not be supported which comprise any buildings or other structures, as the height and/or mass of

any buildings or other structures will obstruct or undermine an Important View";

or

"Proposals will not be supported which comprise buildings or other structures, if the height and/or mass of the proposed buildings or other structure will obstruct or undermine an Important View".

Regards

Janet Cheesley

---

Data Protection – personal data you provide to the council will be processed in line with the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) and Data Protection Act 2018. For more information on how your information is used; how we maintain the security of your information and your rights, including how to access information that we hold on you and how to complain if you have any concerns about how your personal details are processed, please see our [privacy statement](#)

---

This Email, and any attachments, may contain Protected or Restricted information and is intended solely for the individual to whom it is addressed. It may contain sensitive or protectively marked material and should be handled accordingly. If this Email has been misdirected, please notify the author immediately. If you are not the intended recipient you must not disclose, distribute, copy, print or rely on any of the information contained in it or attached, and all copies must be deleted immediately. Whilst we take reasonable steps to try to identify any software viruses, any attachments to this Email may nevertheless contain viruses which our anti-virus software has failed to identify. You should therefore carry out your own anti-virus checks before opening any documents. Basingstoke and Deane Borough Council will not accept any liability for damage caused by computer viruses emanating from any attachment or other document supplied with this e-mail. All GCSx traffic may be subject to recording and / or monitoring in accordance with relevant legislation