



MODIFICATION PROPOSAL
STATEMENT

Sherborne St John Neighbourhood Plan Review 2011-2029

**November 2023
Regulation 15/16
Neighbourhood Plan**

Sherborne St John Neighbourhood Plan 2011-2029

Draft Modification Proposal Statement

Prepared in accordance with Regulation 14(a)(v) of the Neighbourhood Planning (General) Regulations 2012 (as amended) in respect of the Modifications Proposed to the made Sherborne St John Neighbourhood Plan 2011-2029 (May 2017).

Submission Neighbourhood Plan Consultation

November 2023

Table of Contents

1. Introduction	3
2. Background on the Sherborne St John Neighbourhood Plan Review	7
3. The Proposed Modifications	8
4. Table of Proposed Modifications.....	10
5. Conclusion	11
Appendix 1 - Sherborne St John Neighbourhood Plan Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) and Habitats Regulations Assessment (HRA) Screening Opinion	12
Appendix 2 – Table of Proposed Modifications	14

1. Introduction

- 1.1 Sherborne St John Parish Council ("the Parish Council") (hereafter referred to as SSJ PC) is the Qualifying Body who have set out that they intend to review and subsequently to review and modify the Sherborne St John Neighbourhood Plan ("the Original Plan") that was made in May 2017. It is particularly important to ensure that, moving forward, the revised plan is an accessible and robust document and an effective tool in managing future development and planning applications that come forward in the neighbourhood area.
- 1.2 Regulation 11(a) of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 (as amended) states that if a neighbourhood development plan is in force in relation to a neighbourhood area, a qualifying body may make a proposal for the existing plan to be replaced by a new one.
- 1.3 Schedule A2 of the 2004 Act (as set out in Schedule 1 of the Neighbourhood Planning Act 2017) states that the proposal must be accompanied by a draft of the neighbourhood development plan as proposed to be modified (the 'revised plan') and a statement which contains a summary of the proposals and sets out the reasons why the plan should be modified as proposed.
- 1.4 This statement was published as part of the 'pre-submission consultation' for the proposed modified Neighbourhood Plan ("the Modified Plan") which took place for six weeks between Monday 25th September to midnight on Monday 6th November 2023, with 85 responses received through this consultation.
- 1.5 This Statement has now been published and has been finalised and submitted to the LPA for examination, along with a Basic Conditions Statement and a Consultation Statement, in accordance with the Neighbourhood Planning (General) Regulations 2012 (as amended).

1.6 The Planning Practice Guidance notes that in relation to updating a neighbourhood plan there are 3 types of modification which can be made to a neighbourhood plan or order (Paragraph: 106 Reference ID: 41-106-20190509). The process will depend on the degree of change which the modification involves:

- Minor (non-material) modifications to a neighbourhood plan or order are those which would not materially affect the policies in the plan or permission granted by the order. These may include correcting errors, such as a reference to a supporting document, and would not require examination or a referendum.
- Material modifications which do not change the nature of the plan or order would require examination but not a referendum. This might, for example, entail the addition of a design code that builds on a pre-existing design policy, or the addition of a site or sites which, subject to the decision of the independent examiner, are not so significant or substantial as to change the nature of the plan.
- Material modifications which do change the nature of the plan or order would require examination and a referendum. This might, for example, involve allocating significant new sites for development.

1.7 In determining whether the modifications to the Sherborne St John would change the nature of the Neighbourhood Plan we referred to Paragraph 106 of the PPG which has been outlined above. The Parish Council consider that the proposed modifications are material changes to the Made Plan. However, they do not consider them to be so significant or substantial as to alter the overall nature of the Made Plan.

1.8 Therefore, it is anticipated that the modifications can be made without the need for a referendum. This requirement is outlined in the Neighbourhood Planning (General) and Development Management Procedure (Amendment) Regulations 2017/1243. Regulation 14 of the amended 2012 Regulations states that the Qualifying Body must submit a statement indicating their opinion on whether the modifications will have a significant or substantial impact on the modified plan. They must also provide reasons supporting their opinion.

- 1.9 Regulation 14 (a) (v) applies to a proposal to modify an existing “made” neighbourhood development plan. It requires that *“in relation to a modification proposal, a statement setting out whether or not the qualifying body considers that the modifications contained in the modification proposal are so significant or substantial as to change the nature of the neighbourhood development plan which the modification proposal would modify, giving reasons for why the qualifying body is of this opinion”*.
- 1.10 This Statement fulfills that requirement and also acknowledges the additional requirements outlined in the Planning Practice Guidance (PPG) which are outlined in (Paragraph: 055 Reference ID: 41-055-20180222, Paragraph: 081 Reference ID: 41-081-20190509, Paragraph: 085 Reference ID: 41-085-20180222). These requirements include:
- The Qualifying Body must state, during the pre-submission publicity and consultation stage, as well as when the modified plan is submitted to the local planning authority, whether they believe the modifications are significant or substantial enough to change the nature of the plan, and provide reasons for their belief.
 - The local planning authority must state, when sending the modified plan to the independent examiner, whether they believe the modifications are significant or substantial enough to change the nature of the plan, and provide reasons for their belief. The local planning authority must also submit a copy of the original plan to the independent examiner.
 - The Qualifying Body must decide whether to proceed with the examination after the examiner determines whether the proposed modifications alter the nature of the plan.
- 1.11 To comply with the requirements of the PPG, this document provides the background and rationale for the modification, describes the nature of the modification, and

presents the reasons why the Parish Council believes that this modification does not significantly or substantially change the nature of the plan.

Strategic Environmental Assessment

- 1.12 The Parish Council is obliged to monitor and review the Sustainability Appraisal (SA/SEA) that accompanied the Made Plan, as per the Environmental Assessment of Plans & Programmes Regulations 2004.
- 1.13 Basingstoke and Deane Borough Council have rescreened the proposed Modified Plan regarding the need for an SA/SEA and concluded that a SA/SEA is not needed. As part of this decision, Basingstoke and Deane consulted with the relevant statutory bodies (Historic England, Natural England and the Environment Agency). A copy of the letter received from BDBC can be found in **Appendix 1**.

2. Background on the Sherborne St John Neighbourhood Plan Review

- 2.1 The Sherborne St John Neighbourhood Plan is a community-led initiative aimed at shaping the future development of Sherborne St John, a village located in Hampshire, England. The plan was initiated in 2015 and has been driven by a group of local volunteers known as the Sherborne St John Neighbourhood Plan Group. The Sherborne St John Neighbourhood Plan was made by Basingstoke and Deane Borough Council on 18 May 2017.

- 2.2 The Neighbourhood Plan put the Parish Council in a strong position to ensure that planning decisions aligned with the local priorities outlined in the plan. However, as planning policies continuously change, it is now necessary to update the plan to reflect current national and local policies and therefore the Parish Council are undertaking a Review of the Original Neighbourhood Plan.

3. The Proposed Modifications

- 3.1 The Sherborne St John Neighbourhood Plan was ‘made’ by Basingstoke and Deane Borough Council on 18 May 2017. which was supported by over 58% of residents in a parish referendum.
- 3.2 The purpose of this Statement is to provide an explanation as to why the Parish Council believes that the proposed modifications to the existing Made Plan do not alter its fundamental nature. It should be read in conjunction with the separate Neighbourhood Plan document, which presents the proposed changes via a track changes format.
- 3.3 The Qualifying Body proposes to modify the existing plan to include:
- 8 new policies. A number of aspects of these new policies were previously included within policy 2 of the Original Neighbourhood Plan, these relate to matters including Local Green Spaces, Key Views, Landscape and Biodiversity/Ecology and have now been disseminated to their own specific policy and built upon.
 - The new policies included within the Regulation 14 Neighbourhood Plan are:
 - SSJ Policy 1: Settlement Boundaries and Buidling in the Countryside
 - SSJ Policy 4: Design Code and High-Quality Design
 - SSJ Policy 5: Local Green Spaces
 - SSJ Policy 6: Local Gap
 - SSJ Policy 7: Biodiversity and Ecology
 - SSJ Policy 8: Landscape and Key Views
 - SSJ Policy 9: Reducing light pollution
 - SSJ Policy 10: Heritage

- The wording of SSJ policy 2: Delivering a mix of housing sizes to meet local needs remains the same. Parts of SSJ Policy 3: The Rural Character of the Parish remain the same, whilst the rest has been disseminated to other policies within the Neighbourhood Plan.
- Removal of the site allocation at land at Cranes Road for up to 18 dwellings as this site allocation now has planning permission and been developed. The policy is therefore no longer relevant.
- Various updates to the supporting text to reflect national and local planning policy.

3.4 When assessing the test of whether the modifications change the nature of the plan, the Parish Council considers the following factors to be of utmost importance:

- The proposed new policies either refine the existing or build upon policies in the Made Plan to facilitate their implementation or address issues that have arisen in national planning policy.
- Ensure the new policies and changes to the Neighbourhood Plan are clear to those who will use the Neighbourhood Plan.

3.5 The Qualifying Body considers that the nature of the modifications to be not so significant or substantial as to change the nature of the made Plan. It considers that the modifications would require examination but, subject to the decision of the independent examiner, would not require a referendum.

4. Table of Proposed Modifications

- 4.1 The Table of Proposed Modifications at **Appendix 2** provides a summary of the modifications and explains why we consider that these proposed modifications do not change the nature of the plan.

5.Conclusion

- 5.1 In summary the Modified Neighbourhood Plan proposes the modification of one policy in the Made Plan and the addition of eight new policies. It proposed the deletion of one existing policy which is not longer needed as the site allocation has subsequently been implemented. The proposed modifications will ensure the Modified Plan retains the primacy of an up to date, plan-led development management framework for decision making in the Parish. The changes reflect current national and local planning policy and factual changes have been proposed.
- 5.2 Whilst it is noted that the Original Neighbourhood Plan contained 3 policies, Policy 3 was a lengthy policy and a number of criteria contained within it have been provided elsewhere in the plan as standalone policies covering specific topic areas (rather than as criteria of Policy 3). Therefore a number of these ‘new policies’ simply build on existing policies within the Original Neighbourhood Plan.
- 5.3 The Qualifying Body considers that the nature of the modifications to be **not so significant or substantial as to change the nature of the made Plan**. It considers that the modifications would require examination but, subject to the decision of the independent examiner, **would not require a referendum**.

Appendix 1 - Sherborne St John Neighbourhood Plan Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) and Habitats Regulations Assessment (HRA) Screening Opinion



Basingstoke
and Deane

Basingstoke and Deane Borough Council
Civic Offices, London Road,
Basingstoke, Hampshire RG21 4AH
www.basingstoke.gov.uk | 01256 844844
customer.service@basingstoke.gov.uk
Follow us on [Twitter](#) [Facebook](#) @BasingstokeGov

Sherborne St John Parish Council Clerk

Sent via email:

clerk@sherbornestjohn-pc.gov.uk

27 April 2023

Dear Sir or Madam,

Sherborne St John Neighbourhood Plan Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) and Habitats Regulations Assessment (HRA) Screening Opinion

This letter sets out the borough council's screening opinion concerning the need for SEA and HRA in relation to the Updated Sherborne St John Neighbourhood Plan. This has been underpinned by a detailed report and consultation with the Environment Agency, Natural England and Historic England.

The screening process undertaken concludes that in order to meet the 'basic conditions'¹ for neighbourhood planning an Environmental Assessment is considered not to be required to accompany the updated Sherborne St John Neighbourhood Plan, and it would not need to be subject to HRA. The reasons for the decision are set out below:

Strategic Environmental Assessment

Following analysis undertaken to assess the effects on the environment resulting from the Sherborne St John Neighbourhood Plan, an SEA is considered to not be required due to the nature of the policies, and the environmental characteristics of the surrounding area.

Habitats Regulations Assessment

There are no European sites within a 10km radius of the neighbourhood area. Therefore, it is considered that there are not likely to be significant effects on any European sites flowing from the Neighbourhood Plan.

¹ Requirement (f), that the making of the order or neighbourhood plan does not breach, and is otherwise compatible with EU Obligations. The basic conditions are set out in [paragraph 8\(2\) of Schedule 4B to the Town and Country Planning Act 1990](#) as applied to neighbourhood plans by section 38A of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004.

Chief Executive Russell O'Keefe

Executive Director of Resident Services and Regeneration and Deputy Chief Executive Rebecca Emmett

Further information on the above can be found within the final version of the Sherborne St John Neighbourhood Plan Screening Report (April 2023). The responses from the consultation bodies can be found in Appendix 5 of the document.

If you have any questions regarding the above, please do not hesitate to contact me on Jessica.Wells@basingstoke.gov.uk or on 01256 845450.

Yours sincerely

Jessica Wells
Planning Policy Officer

Enc. Final version of the Neighbourhood Plan Screening Report (April 2023)

CC: James Rowley, Planning Lead for Sherborne St John Parish Council

Appendix 2 – Table of Proposed Modifications

The following table provides a summary of the modifications and explains why the Parish Council believes they do not change the nature of the plan.

Section of Plan/ Policy reference:	Summary of proposed modification	Description of the change	Nature of change
Front Cover	Change to the date on cover and update to inform that it is a submission consultation Neighbourhood Plan.	Changes to provide factual update – plan period remains the same and is aligned with the adopted Local Plan	Minor (non-material)
Table of contents	Update of page numbers and new policies	This is an update to the contents page to reflect the inclusion of new policies and changes to page numbers.	Minor (non-material)
Chairman’s foreword	Update of the chairman’s foreword to reflect the current position and provide an update from the original neighbourhood plan to present.	The changes to the foreword present the current picture with the Neighbourhood Plan and provide an update on how the Neighbourhood Plan Review has progressed.	Minor (non-material)
1. Introduction (About the Neighbourhood Plan)	Update on the current plan, it provides a detailed update on the	Changes are factual and provide a detailed update on the Neighbourhood Plan Review.	Minor (non-material)

Section of Plan/ Policy reference:	Summary of proposed modification	Description of the change	Nature of change
	background of the original Neighbourhood Plan and provides an update on the housing which has been delivered in the parish and that SEA screening.		
1. Introduction (the purpose of the Neighbourhood Plan)	This provides an update on why the review of the Neighbourhood Plan is occurring.	Changes are factual and provide a detailed update on the Neighbourhood Plan Review.	Minor (non-material)
2. About the Neighbourhood Plan	Includes clarification that the Neighbourhood Plan must be taken into account in the determination of planning application and updates to legislation and the basic conditions to reflect the correct legislation.	The changes include adding the additional basic condition which was not in place when the Original Neighbourhood Plan was assessed.	Minor (non-material)
The planning policy context	Provides an update of SPDs which have been updated since the	The changes reflect factual updates and ensure the Neighbourhood Plan reflects an up to date position in line with the Local Plan and supporting evidence. It is	Minor (non-material)

Section of Plan/ Policy reference:	Summary of proposed modification	Description of the change	Nature of change
	adoption of the Neighbourhood Plan in 2017.	noted that Basingstoke and Deane have committed to reviewing their Local Plan and are expecting to undertake the Regulation 18 consultation in January 2024, no drafts of the consultation document have been seen to date.	
Consultation engagement	Provides an update on the engagement undertaken as part of the Neighbourhood Plan Review process, including the questionnaire which was consulted upon.	The changes provide a factual update on engagement which has occurred since the Neighbourhood Plan Review has commenced.	Minor (non-material)
Evidence gathering and analysis	Provides an update on the evidence gathering and analysis undertaken for the Neighbourhood Plan Review.	The changes provide a factual update on the evidence gathering and analysis including reports commissioned and evidence base since the Neighbourhood Plan Review has commenced.	Minor (non-material)
Site assessment process	This section has been removed to reflect the fact that the site allocated as part of the Original Neighbourhood	The removal of the site assessment process provides an up date to ensure the latest information which is relevant to the NP is included. It is not necessary to include this information regarding the site allocation again. The	Minor (non-material)

Section of Plan/ Policy reference:	Summary of proposed modification	Description of the change	Nature of change
	<p>Plan has planning permission and has now been developed.</p> <p>It is therefore not necessary to include this as a site allocation again. The Neighbourhood Plan Review does not propose to allocate any additional sites.</p>	<p>Neighbourhood Plan Review does not propose to allocate any additional sites.</p>	
Sustainable development	<p>This section provides a series on minor updates to reflect the most relevant paragraphs in the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF), also provides the most recent position on the need for Strategic Environmental Assessment.</p>	<p>This section provides a series of minor updates to reflect the most relevant paragraphs in the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF, 2023) also provides the most recent position on the need for Strategic Environmental Assessment.</p>	Minor (non-material)
Monitoring and review	<p>Provides an update on how the Neighbourhood Plan will be</p>	<p>This provides a factual update and provides the views of the Steering Group with regards to the review process,</p>	Minor (non-material)

Section of Plan/ Policy reference:	Summary of proposed modification	Description of the change	Nature of change
	monitored and removes previous text relating to possible mechanisms to the review process which was created by the previous Neighbourhood Plan steering Groups.	which related to the Original Neighbourhood Plan. It also outlines the reasons why the Parish Council have decided to review the Neighbourhood Plan.	
3. Parish Profile Parish overview	Provides an update on Sherborne St John following the publication of data following the adoption of the Neighbourhood Plan in 2017.	Provides an update to various sections and population data which has been published following the adoption of the Neighbourhood Plan in 2017.	Minor (non-material)
Topic by topic	Provides factual updates on a number of topic areas and removes text relating to housing need and provision which is no longer relevant due to the neighbourhood plan no longer allocating sites.	Provides factual updates on a number of topic areas and removes text relating to housing need and provision which is no longer relevant due to the Neighbourhood Plan no longer allocating sites. This also provides an update acknowledging that Basingstoke and Deane Borough Council are in the process of updating their Local Plan although no statutory formal consultation has taken place on this as yet. A number of maps have also	Minor (non-material)

Section of Plan/ Policy reference:	Summary of proposed modification	Description of the change	Nature of change
		been updated to reflect the latest GIS layers and mapping which is available for the Parish.	
4. Vision and objectives Plan vision	Vision has been updated to reflect consultation and up to date position.	The neighbourhood plan vision has been updated following an engagement exercise with the local community and to provide more clarity. The essence of the vision is the same however it is now considered to be more detailed.	Minor (non-material)
Objectives	Objectives have been updated in line with the new policies proposed.	A number of new Neighbourhood Plan policies have been included and the objectives have been updated and included where relevant, notably in relation to light pollution, key views and local green spaces.	Material, but does not affect the nature of the plan.
5. SSJ Policy 1: Settlement Boundaries and Building in the Countryside)	New policy and supporting text has been included to reflect where development should be focused within the parish. The policy does not propose any changes to the settlement boundary	Inclusion of new policy and supporting text which includes reference to where development is considered acceptable within the Parish, and that there is a presumption against housing development outside the settlement boundaries. This is considered to be in line with Policy SS1 of the Local Plan, and Policy 2 of the	Material, but does not affect the nature of the plan.

Section of Plan/ Policy reference:	Summary of proposed modification	Description of the change	Nature of change
	itself, but provides a steer of where development is and isn't acceptable in line with the NPPF and Policy SS1 of the Local Plan.	Original Neighbourhood Plan which sought to ensure development was of a suitable location and scale. The inclusion of the policy is not considered to change the nature of the Plan but adds further clarification. It does not contradict the Original Neighbourhood Plan or the adopted Local Plan.	
SSJ Policy 2 (Delivering a mix of housing sizes to meet local needs)	No change to the policy wording itself other than the policy number (now Policy 2 rather than Policy 1). However the supporting text has been updated to provide a factual update, in that the site allocated as part of the Original Neighbourhood Plan has been developed.	No change to the wording of policy SSJ 2 – bar the change of the naming of the policy which was previously policy SSJ1 and inclusion of new evidence which backs the policy including the BDBC Housing SPD adopted in 2018 after the Neighbourhood Plan was made.	Minor (non-material)

Section of Plan/ Policy reference:	Summary of proposed modification	Description of the change	Nature of change
Removed: SSJ Policy 3: Residential Development – land at Cranes Road	Removal of the site allocation policy and supporting text for between 12 to 18 dwellings as this has now been developed.	This policy and supporting text has been removed as it has been built out and subsequently is no longer relevant/needed. Removal of the site allocation policy to provide a factual update.	Material, but does not change the nature of the plan.
SSJ Policy 3: The Rural Character of the Parish	Update of the policy number (previously SSJ Policy 2: The Rural Character of the Parish) includes a link to policy SSJ1 and contains a number of same text from Original Neighbourhood Plan, some text has been amended to specific policies i.e Local Green Space policy, wildlife policy and key views policy.	The policy has been renumbered and a number of criteria on specific topic areas have been provided elsewhere in the plan as standalone policies (rather than criteria of Policy 3). This relates to local green spaces, wildlife corridors, key views and local gap policy. Some supporting text has also been amended to reflect these changes. Its inclusion was supported through the engagement exercise taken in late 2022.	Minor (non-material)
SSJ Policy 4: Design Code and High Quality Design	New policy and supporting text, following the production of a bespoke code for SSJ by AECOM.	Following the production of a Design Code by AECOM, this policy and supporting text has been included in line with the NPPF and Local Plan policy EM10 and builds upon these criteria. The Design Code has also been	Material, but does not change the nature of the plan.

Section of Plan/ Policy reference:	Summary of proposed modification	Description of the change	Nature of change
		<p>reviewed by the Council’s urban designer to ensure consistency and accuracy in line with the adopted Local Plan. This builds upon policy 2 of the original neighbourhood plan (now policy 3) which sought to ensure the rural character and natural assets of the parish are conserved and where possible enhanced. Its inclusion was supported through the engagement exercise taken in late 2022.</p>	
SSJ Policy 5: Local Green Space	<p>New policy and supporting text. Link from old policy SSJ policy 2 (now policy 3). Local Green Spaces were previously assessed in the original neighbourhood plan but there was no formal policy bar the criterion in original policy 2.</p>	<p>This policy has been produced in line with the criterion from the Original Neighbourhood Plan policy 2 (now policy 3) which sought to protect local and open spaces in the Parish with a strong presumption for existing open space to be retained. This policy provides more detail with assessments and an evidence base which has been produced in line with relevant paragraphs within the NPPF. Maps have also been provided by BDBC to ensure that these Local Green Spaces can easily be identified. Its</p>	<p>Material, but does not change the nature of the plan.</p>

Section of Plan/ Policy reference:	Summary of proposed modification	Description of the change	Nature of change
		inclusion was supported through the engagement exercise taken in late 2022.	
SSJ Policy 6: Local Gap	New policy and supporting text. Link from old policy SSJ policy 2 (now policy 3). The policy and supporting text introduce a Local Gap which is in line with adopted Local Plan policy EM2 (Strategic Gaps).	This policy builds on some of the criteria of the Original Neighbourhood Plan policy 2 (now policy 3). In particular, the requirement for new development to ensure that the rural character and natural assets of the parish are conserved and, if possible, are enhanced. This policy has been evidenced by the Scarp Local Gap Study. The proposed Local Gap follows a similar boundary to that of the Strategic Gap (Local Plan policy EM2), slightly amended to only encompass land which is located within the Parish. The Local Gap has three primary functions (as set out in Policy 6) and is considered complementary to the Strategic Gap in Local Plan policy EM2. Its inclusion was supported through the engagement exercise taken in late 2022.	Material, but does not change the nature of the plan

Section of Plan/ Policy reference:	Summary of proposed modification	Description of the change	Nature of change
SSJ Policy 7: Biodiversity and Ecology	New policy and supporting text. Link from old policy SSJ policy 2 (now policy 3). The policy is in line with local and national policy.	This is in line with policy 2 of the original neighbourhood plan which sought for development to be permitted where it was in line with the SSJ wildlife map project and natural assets and green corridors. The policy has been updated to reflect changes to policy in the NPPF and the emerging changes which have been outlined via the Environment Act 2021, as well as local policies within the Local Plan and locally specific SPDs. This has also been produced as a result of a locally produced evidence base document. Its inclusion was supported through the engagement exercise taken in late 2022.	Material, but does not change the nature of the plan
SSJ Policy 8: Landscape and Key Views	New policy and supporting text. Link from old policy SSJ policy 2 (now policy 3). 17 key views have been identified which are described and mapped within the document.	This is in line with policy 2 of the original Neighbourhood Plan (now policy 3) which identified important views previously. 17 views have been identified as a result of the Scarp Key Views report. They have been outlined in the policy and are shown via a map within the	Material, but does not change the nature of the plan

Section of Plan/ Policy reference:	Summary of proposed modification	Description of the change	Nature of change
		Neighbourhood Plan. Its inclusion was supported through the engagement exercise taken in late 2022.	
SSJ Policy 9: Reducing Light Pollution	New policy and supporting text. Link from old policy SSJ policy 2 (now policy 3). This policy is in line with Policy EM12 (pollution) of the adopted Local Plan).	This is in line with policy 2 of the original Neighbourhood Plan which sought to ensure development did not add additional impact to light or noise pollution. This policy is in line with Policy EM12 (pollution) of the adopted Local Plan, and takes a similar approach to a number of adopted neighbourhood plans within the borough. Its inclusion was supported through the engagement exercise taken in late 2022.	Material, but does not change the nature of the plan
SSJ Policy 10: Heritage	New policy and supporting text. Link from old policy SSJ policy 2 (now policy 3).	This builds upon policy 2 of the original Neighbourhood Plan (now policy 3) which sought to ensure the rural character and natural assets of the parish are conserved and where possible enhanced. In addition, it builds upon evidence base commissioned by the Parish Council and is in line with the BDBC Conservation Area Appraisal and map and seeks to identify the setting of the conservation	Material, but does not change the nature of the plan

Section of Plan/ Policy reference:	Summary of proposed modification	Description of the change	Nature of change
		area as well as identifying non-designated heritage assets, in line with a number of other neighbourhood plans in the borough. The policy is considered to be in line with national and local policy. Its inclusion was supported through the engagement exercise taken in late 2022.	
Non- statutory Community Action Plan	Updated to reflect the relevant non-statutory community actions.	Updated to reflect the relevant non-statutory community actions.	Minor (non-material)
Evidence Library	Removed evidence base library page as documents are recorded throughout the Neighbourhood Plan.	Removed evidence base library page as documents are recorded throughout the Neighbourhood Plan.	Material, but does not change the nature of the plan.
Glossary	Updated to reflect changes in the rest of the document	To reflect update policy context and reflect changes elsewhere in the plan	Minor (non-material)